	Case 2.00-cv-01094-INETI-EINE Document 45 Thea 01/00/11 Fage 1 0/2
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
7	
8	CHRISTIAN CAPLES,) 2:06-cv-1094-RLH-LRL
9	Petitioner,) ORDER
10	vs.
11	DWIGHT NEVIN, et al.,
12	Respondents.
13	
14	Before the Court is petitioner's Motion for Enlargement of Time to File a Supplement
15	to Petitioner's Writ of Habeas Corpus (docket #41). The action was originally filed in this Court in
16	2006 and has previously been stayed to allow petitioner to return to state court to exhaust his various
17	claims. The case was reopened on April 22, 2010, (docket #23) and since that time respondents have
18	moved to dismiss various claims (docket #28), with petitioner opposing the motion, respondents
19	replying and petitioner then filing a sur-reply. The petitioner has appear in pro se throughout.
20	On December 27, 2010, petitioner, through newly obtained counsel, filed the instant
21	motion for time to supplement the petition. Respondents oppose the motion (docket #42) reciting the
22	case history and arguing there is no authority to permit supplement of the petition at this late date.
23	The Court agrees that the motion to supplement the petition is untimely. Additionally, the Court
24	finds that it would be an improper imposition upon the resources of the Court and the State of
2.5	Nevada to permit amendment or supplement of the petition at this late date, particularly in light of

26 Mayles v. Felix, 545 U.S. 644 (2005). However, the Court will permit counsel to supplement

	Case 2:06-cv-01094-RLH-LRL Document 43 Filed 01/06/11 Page 2 of 2
1	petitioner's opposition/response to the now pending motion to dismiss. Thereafter, respondents will
2	be permitted an opportunity to reply to the supplement.
3	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that petitioner's Motion to Extent Time to File a
4	Supplement to Petitioner's Writ of Habeas Corpus (docket #41) is DENIED .
5	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that petitioner shall have thirty days from entry of this
6	Order to file a supplemental response to the Motion to Dismiss filed on August 19, 2010.
7	Thereafter, respondents shall have twenty days to file a supplemental reply.
8	Dated this6 th _ day of January, 2011.
9	
10	() 1 (Hant)
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12	
13	_
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	